

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,408	01/05/2001	Robert I. Nurse	7903ML	5236
27752	7590 04/13/2006		EXAM	INER
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			3727	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/755,408	NURSE, ROBERT I.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later, than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 February 2006</u> .					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,8-14,16,17 and 24-34 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,3-5,8-14,16,17 and</u>	28-33 is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-27 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
) (d) == (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(a) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a la sura la sura sura situa d					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
•						
Attachment(s)	A) 🗍 Jakan (2000)	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-24 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Simmons discloses a container 10 having a plurality of upstandable sidewalls (the

Simmons.

upstandable sidewalls of liner 28) interconnected by a floor pan (the floor portion of liner 28), at least one upstandable reinforcing panel (one of the pair of sidewalls 20) releasably connected to at least one of the sidewalls (at nipple 38 and port 40 connections), the sidewall is reinforceable with the upstanding reinforceable panel, the reinforceable panel being releasable from the sidewall without separation from the container (the reinforceable panel separates from the

sidewall at the nipple/port connections while remaining connected to the container at a hinged

connection adjacent the bottom edge of the reinforceable panel so that the panel may fold

horizontally when the container is collapsed as shown in Fig. 2).

Re claim 34, insofar as disclosed the present invention includes a floor pan support 48 articulably joined to the reinforceable panel 42. Simmons discloses a similar construction with floor portion 14 articulably joined to reinforceable panel 20, the reinforceable panel 20 is removably attachable to the outer surface of floor pan through an indirect connection of the reinforceable panel 20 to the sidewall of the liner which is connected to the floor of the liner.

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simmons.

Simmons discloses the invention except for the opening in the port would not be considered a slot because a circular hole is not elongated. It would have been obvious to modify the circular hole and the correspondingly shaped nipple to be elongated to provide an alignment feature and to extend the length of engagement to make the connection stronger.

Claims 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simmons in view of Spykerman et al. (Spykerman).

Spykerman discloses the invention except for the notches. Spykerman teaches hand graspable notches 121, 131. It would have been obvious to add the notches to the reinforceable panel to provide easier grasping of the panel. Official notice is taken that plural finger grip notches are well known. It would have been obvious to modify the single hand notch to have a plurality of finger notches to enhance the comfort of the hand grip.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

Application/Control Number: 09/755,408 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Stephen J. Castellano **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3727